JUDGE ROBERT S. LASNIK 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR16-88-RSL 9 Plaintiff, ORDER TO 10 EXTEND TIME TO FILE v. **INDICTMENT** 11 CARLOS HERNANDEZ-HERNANDEZ, 12 Defendant. 13 14 The Court, having reviewed the defense's unopposed motion and the defendant's 15 agreement to extend the time for an Indictment, finds as to the facts agreed to by 16 17 counsel in that document, and hereby makes the following findings of fact: A failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time 18 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, 19 within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). 20 2. The parties believe that an extension of time in which to indict may result 21 in the possible resolution of the case without an indictment and without trial. Thus, the 22 Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in 23 24 this case, that a continuance is necessary to ensure effective trial preparation and that

these factors outweigh the best interests of the public in a more speedy trial, within the

meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

25

26

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the time within which an indictment in this
2	case must be filed against the defendant shall be extended from April 28, 2016, to June
3	27, 2016.
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of delay from April 28, 2016, to
5	June 27, 2016, is excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18, U.S.C. §§ 3161
6	et seq.
7	DONE this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2016.
8	
9	MWS Casnik
10	Robert S. Lasnik
11	United States District Judge
12	Presented by:
13	
14	<u>/s/ Corey Endo</u>   Assistant Federal Public Defender
15	Attorney for Carlos Hernandez-Hernandez
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	